



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/838,166	04/20/2001	Katsumi Ichinose	1095.1184	9022
21171	7590	11/30/2004	EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			VO, LILIAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2127	

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No. 09/838,166	Applicant(s) ICHINOSE ET AL.	
	Examiner Lilian Vo	Art Unit 2127	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 August 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 - 4 and 7 - 8 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 - 4 and 7 - 8 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |



### DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 – 3 and 7 – 8 are pending. Claims 5 – 6 have been canceled.

#### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1 - 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kametani in view of Lewis (US 6,378,066).

1. Regarding **claim 1**, Kametani discloses an information processing method for causing a computing device having a plurality of processors to carry out predetermined information processing, the information processing method comprising:

dividing a program to be executed into a plurality of parallel processing blocks (abstract, col. 12, lines 52 - 63);

dividing said parallel processing blocks into threads which are basic units to be assigned respectively to said plurality of processors for being processed thereby (abstract, col. 12, lines 52 - 63); and

instructing a predetermined processor to execute a next parallel processing block when said predetermined processor has terminated execution of a respective thread assigned thereto



Art Unit: 2127

(abstract, col. 2, lines 55 – 61, col. 4, line 66 – col. 5, line 19, col. 13, lines 22 – 26, col. 14, lines 36 – 49).

Kametani however did not clearly the additional limitation as claimed. Nevertheless, Lewis discloses the instruction step comprises:

comparing a first parallel block number processing control information region and a second parallel block number of a thread information region (abstract, col. 9, line 60 – col. 10, line 40), and

determining whether a corresponding thread should execute said next parallel processing block, wherein when execution is required, determining said next parallel processing block to be executed with reference to said second parallel block number, and when execution is not required, generating a parallel processing block control information region to the next parallel processing block (col. 3, line 47 – col. 4, line 7, col. 5, line 50 – col. 6, line 46, col. 9, line 60 – col. 10, line 43).

It would have been obvious for one of an ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to incorporate Lewis's teaching together with Kametani to make effective use of processor resource in parallel processing and still able to maintain the dependency relationship between blocks as appropriately (col. 3, lines 50 – 55).

2. Regarding **claim 2**, Kametani discloses that when a predetermined instruction is given in the program to be executed, execution of a next parallel processing block is not instructed until processing of all of the threads have been terminated (abstract, col. 13, lines 27 – 31 and col. 14, lines 50 – 67).



3. **Claims 3 – 4** are rejected on the same ground as stated in claim 1 above.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. **Claims 6 – 7** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipate by Lewis (US 6,378,066).

6. Regarding **claim 7**, Lewis discloses a method comprising:

dividing a program to be executed into a plurality of parallel processing block (abstract, col. 3, line 47 – col. 4, line 7);

dividing the parallel processing blocks into threads to be respectively assigned to a plurality of processors (figs. 10A – 10C, col. 9, line 61 – col. 10, line 3);

designating a parallel processing block number to each of the assigned threads corresponding to the parallel processing block being executed by the assigned threads at a predetermined time (fig. 10a – 10c, and col. 10, lines 4 – 20);

comparing the parallel processing block number corresponding to a leading thread of the assigned threads to a parallel block number corresponding to each of the assigned threads (col. 10, lines 4 – 20 and figs. 10a – 10c); and



determining whether the leading thread should execute a next parallel processing block, wherein when execution is required, determining the next parallel processing block to be executed with reference to the parallel processing block number of the leading thread (col. 5, line 65 – col. 6, line 44, col. 10, lines 20 – 40, col. 12, lines 15 - 23).

7. **Claim 8** is rejected on the same ground as stated in claim 7 above.

#### ***Response to Arguments***

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3 and 4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### ***Conclusion***

9. Applicants' amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.




Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lilian Vo whose telephone number is 571-272-3774. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 7:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on 571-272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lilian Vo  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2127

lv  
November 24, 2004

  
**MENG-AL T. AN**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**  
**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**